

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 26th October, 1982

No. ID/FD/121/82/48750.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Johar Khan and the management of M/s Emgee Board and Paper Mills Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 107-108, Sector 25, Faridabad regarding the matter here in after appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the Act the matter specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Johar Khan was justified and in order? If not to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/121/82/48757.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Dev Bachan and the management of M/s Emgee Board and Paper Mills Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 107-108, Sector 25, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Dev Bachan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 3rd November, 1982

No. ID/FD/169/82/49641.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rajender Singh Rath and the management of M/s The Bengal National Textile Mills Ltd., 14/5 Mathura Road, Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing.

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, Constituted,—vide Government notification No 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rajender Singh Rath was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 4th November, 1982

No. ID/FD/158/82/49782.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Buni Lal and the management of the M/s Puja Interprises (P) Ltd., 14/5, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Buni Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?